V			ODERN PLAY AND RELATIONS EN TEXTS						
1	Course Title:	WRITING TECHNIQUES IN MODERN PLAY AND RELATIONS BETWEEN TEXTS							
2	Course Code:	SSY5121							
3	Type of Course:	Optional							
4	Level of Course:	Second Cycle							
5	Year of Study:	1							
6	Semester:	1							
7	ECTS Credits Allocated:	5.00							
8	Theoretical (hour/week):	2.00							
9	Practice (hour/week):	0.00	0.00						
10	Laboratory (hour/week):	0	0						
11	Prerequisites:	none							
12	Language:	Turkish							
13	Mode of Delivery:	Face to t	face						
14	Course Coordinator:	Dr. Ögr. Üyesi BANU ÇAKMAK DUMAN							
15	Course Lecturers:	yok							
16	Contact information of the Course Coordinator:	Öğr. Gör. Dr. Banu ÇAKMAK DUMAN bcakmak@uludag.edu.tr, 02245431015, U.Ü. Güzel Sanatlar Fakültesi, Mudanya/Bursa							
17	Website:								
18	Objective of the Course:	The classical dramatic structure was destroyed in theatre step by step, in modern era, as a result of improvements which are lived in scienes and arts. Thus, a new term started for the play writing in modern era. So the aim of this lesson, analyse the change of play writing with examples which are avant-garde, epic and absurd plays. Also describe the notion of intertextual relationship which occurred in modern theatre.							
19	Contribution of the Course to Professional Development:		It provides an introduction to the concept of intertextual relations that emerged with modern theater.						
20	Learning Outcomes:								
		1	Have information about modern era, modern art and modern thinking.						
		2	Understand the general features of modern theatre						
		3	Analyse the avant-garde plays						
		4	Analyse the epic and absurd plays						
		5	Understand how the classical dramatic structure was destroyed in theatre						
		6	See the notion of intertextual relationship						
		7	Learn the kind of intertextual relationship						
		8							
		9							
		10							
21	Course Content:								
		Co	ourse Content:						
	Theoretical		Practice						
1	Social conditions which caused the era	modern							

2	The basic features of modern thinking								
3	Modern art and modern theatre								
4	The basic features of avant-garde theatre								
5	Analyse how the classical dramatic structure was destroyed in theatre by avant-garde plays: symbolism, abstraction, grotesque								
6	The basic features of epic theatre								
7	Analyse how the classical dramatic structure was destroyed in theatre by epic plays: alienation, historicizing, gestus, dialectic								
8	Analyse how the classical dramatic structure was destroyed in theatre by epic plays: alienation, historicizing, gestus, dialectic								
9	The basic features of absurd theatre								
10	The absurd theatre which is the last stage of the classical dramatic structure's collapse								
11	Analyse the examples from absurd theatre comparatively								
12	Rise of intertextual relationship								
13	General look at the kind of intertextual relationship: citation, parody, pastiche, irony								
14	Examples from Turkish theatre about modern play writing								
Activit	Texthooks References and/or Other es	•	Marshall Rerman Katı Number	Duration (hour)					
Theore	ical	Ü	nhit Tatlıcan, İstanbul,	%99 ayınları, 2010	28.00				
Practic	als/Labs		0	0.00	0.00				
Self stu	dy and preperation	•	শ্যু্রাn Candan, Yirmind	i4Y002yılda Öncü Tiy	56 മ)Óstanbul,				
Homew	vorks			0.00	0.00				
Project		В	⊛a l Madra, İlham Usm	-	-				
Field S		_	0	0.00	0.00				
	n exams	5	etzer Tansuğ, İstanbul,	<u> </u>	FI				
Others	lama .		0 Nazım Uğur Özüaydın	0.00	0.00				
Final E	vork Load	منا	tankul Mitaa Barut Va	2001 dZyllda Estet	148.00				
	ork load/ 30 hr	ΙA	li Berktay, İstanbul, İsta	nbul. Mitos Bovut					
	Credit of the Course	_	Portalt Procht Tivotro	oin Kügük Organor	5.00				
		Bertolt Brecht, Epik Tiyatro, Çev. Kamuran Şipal, İstanbul, Cem Yayınları, 1997 Bertolt Brecht, Oyun Sanatı ve Dekor, Çev. Kamuran Şipal, İstanbul, Cem Yayınları, 1994 Martin Esslin, Absürt Tiyatro, Çev. Güler Siper, Ankara, Dost Yayınları, 1999 Zehra İpşiroğlu, Uyumsuz Tiyatroda Gerçekçilik, İstanbul, Mitos Boyut Yayınları, 1996 Kubilay Aktulum, Metinlerarası İlişkiler, Ankara, Öteki Yayınevi, 2000 Peter Szondi, Theory of The Modern Drama, ed. and trans. Michael Hays, Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press, 1987 Fırat Güllü, "Avangart Tiyatronun Sonu", Mimesis, 8. Sayı, 2000, s. 215-228							
23	Assesment								

TERM LEARNING ACTIVITIES	NUMBE R	WEIGHT						
Midterm Exam	1	40.00						
Quiz	0	0.00						
Home work-project	0	0.00						
Final Exam	1	60.00						
Total	2	100.00						
Contribution of Term (Year) Learning Activities Success Grade	es to	40.00						
Contribution of Final Exam to Success Grade)	60.00						
Total		100.00						
Measurement and Evaluation Techniques Us Course	sed in the	Evaluation is done through midterm and final exams.						
24 ECTS / WORK LOAD TABLE								

25	CONTRIBUTION OF LEARNING OUTCOMES TO PROGRAMME QUALIFICATIONS															
	PQ1	PQ2	PQ3	PQ4	PQ5	PQ6	PQ7	PQ8	PQ9	PQ1 0	PQ11	PQ12	PQ1	PQ14	PQ15	PQ16
ÖK1	2	2	3	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK2	2	2	3	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK3	1	3	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK4	3	4	1	1	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK5	3	4	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK6	2	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ÖK7	2	3	3	4	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LO: Learning Objectives PQ: Program Qualifications																
Contrib 1 very low 2 I ution Level:			2 low		3 Medium			4 High			5 Very High					